



## Office of Children and Family Services

ANDREW M. CUOMO  
Governor

SHEILA J. POOLE  
Commissioner

June 30, 2021

Dear Chief Executive Officer,

Thank you for submitting Monroe County's Supervision and Treatment Services for Juveniles Program (STSJP) plan for Program Year (PY) 2020-2021. Your entire STSJP plan, including any amounts listed for PY 2020-2021 STSJP-RTA, has been **approved.**

The County is eligible to receive 62% State reimbursement for STSJP expenditures up to the capped STSJP allocation amount. Your municipality will continue to receive 49% State reimbursement for eligible detention services expenditures up to the capped allocation amount. If your municipality shifts a portion of its detention allocation into its STSJP plan, your municipality will receive 62% State reimbursement, up to the capped allocation, if such shifted funds are spent on STSJP eligible expenditures. A municipality may make a detention allocation shift at the time of the STSJP plan submission or up until June 30, 2021, unless otherwise approved by the Office of Children and Family Services.

If the County plans to shift its detention allocation for STSJP eligible expenses, please submit a request on official letterhead to Lynn Tubbs and email it to [stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov](mailto:stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov) outlining

1. the amount that will be shifted and
2. the type of programming or services the re-purposed detention funds will be used for under STSJP

Once the shift is approved, an amended STSJP plan will need to be submitted.

As a reminder, to access RTA reimbursement that a municipality may be eligible for, the expenditures must be specifically included in a locality's comprehensive RTA plan.

All STSJP claims, inclusive of STSJP-RTA, must be submitted electronically via the Juvenile Detention Automated System (JDAS) for the service period of October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021. Questions on all aspects of the claiming process should be directed to the STSJP mailbox at [stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov](mailto:stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov) with the subject line: "STSJP Claiming Questions".

If you have any STSJP plan questions, please also email us at [stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov](mailto:stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov) and write "STSJP Plan Questions" in the subject line or reach out to Geneva Hilliard at (518) 486-1819 or Lynn Tubbs at (518) 473-9116.

Thank you for your continued partnership as we reform the juvenile justice practices in New York State by safely engaging youth and their families through innovative programming to reduce out of home placement and detention of youth.

Sincerely,



Nina Aledort, Ph.D., LMSW  
Deputy Commissioner  
Division of Youth Development and Partnerships for Success

cc: Derek Holtzclaw, OCFS Deputy Commissioner for Administration  
Lynn Tubbs, Director of Cross-System Supports, YDAPS  
OCFS Child Welfare and Community Services Regional Office Directors  
Municipality STSJP Lead

NEW YORK STATE  
OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES  
**SUPERVISION AND TREATMENT SERVICES FOR JUVENILES PROGRAM (STSJP)**  
**ANNUAL PLAN FOR PROGRAM YEAR OCTOBER 1, 2020 – SEPTEMBER 30, 2021**

### SUBMITTING MUNICIPALITY CONTACT INFORMATION

Name of applicant county, counties, or jurisdiction: Monroe		
Lead agency for STSJP submission: Monroe County Department of Human Services		
Contact Person's Name: Amy Natale-McConnell	Title: Director, Family Services	
Phone: (585) 753-6431	Ext:	Email: Amy.Natale-McConnell@dfa.state.ny.us

### PLAN SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

**STSJP plans are due to the Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) by 11 / 04 / 2020**

1. Once you have opened this form on your computer, please use the "Save As" function to save a copy with the following file name: "STSJP 2020-2021 Annual Plan – [Municipality Name]."
2. Work from the copy saved in Step 1 as you record your municipality information. Save your work as you complete each section.
3. Email the completed application to OCFS at [stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov](mailto:stsjp@ocfs.ny.gov). Use the subject line "STSJP 2020-2021 Annual Plan – [Municipality Name]" to facilitate timely review of your plan.

**Please direct any STSJP plan questions to Geneva Hilliard 518-486-1819 or Lynn Tubbs 518-473-9116.**

#### **NOTE:**

#### **Cooperative Applications Submitted Jointly by Two or More Counties**

Two or more eligible local jurisdictions (counties) may join together to establish, operate and maintain STSJP programs, and may enter into agreements in connection therewith. Counties submitting such applications must provide additional information under **Part I - Municipality Level Details, Section A. Cooperative Application.**

### PART I – MUNICIPALITY LEVEL DETAILS

#### A. Cooperative Application (Complete this section only if this is a joint application.)

1. Describe the provisions for the proportionate cost to be borne by each county. N/A		
2. Describe how personnel will be compensated across and between counties in the cooperative: N/A		
3. Will a single fiscal officer be the custodian of the funds made available for STSJP? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (If yes, please provide their contact details below.) <input type="checkbox"/> No (If no, skip to Q4.)		
Officer's Name:	Title:	
Phone: ( )	Ext:	Email:

4. Describe who will be responsible for collecting and submitting STSJP data for joint-funded programs:  
N/A

#### B. Municipality Level Analysis

1. (a) Identify communities or neighborhoods from which the highest number of Youthful Offenders (YO), Adolescent Offenders (AO), Juvenile Offenders (JO), Juvenile Delinquents (JD), and Persons in Need of Supervision (PINS) enter the youth justice system, are remanded to detention, and/or are residentially placed; then (b) Discuss what factors may be contributing to these high numbers:

According to the most recent census estimates, approximately 70,103 youth ages 10 through 17 live in Monroe County; 30% of them reside within the City of Rochester. (Source: OJJDP/Census Bureau) It is from the City that the vast majority (approximately 85%) of youth connected to Juvenile Justice come. There are marked disparities in

educational and social opportunities and outcomes for youth in the City versus the County. While Monroe County schools perform on par with the rest of the state with graduation rates around 85%, the graduation rate in the Rochester City School District for a 4-year cohort for the 2018-19 school year was 63%, up from 51% in 2015. (Source: ROC the Future 2019 Annual Report) Absenteeism in the Rochester City School District is a major barrier to academic achievement: While the overall chronic absentee rate is 35% among all City schools, the rate is 49% among high-school students, which has major implications for intervention across all of our programs, but particularly among the PINS population. Race and poverty, and particularly the intersection of the two, are major contributing factors towards both who is in the Juvenile Justice system and their outcomes. (Factors related to Race are specifically addressed in the next question.) The overall poverty rate in Monroe County currently stands at 14.3%, in stark contrast to the City which stands at 33.1%. The rate of children living in poverty in the County is 22.3% compared to almost 52% in the City. The concentration of poverty is worsening; the number of census tracts with poverty rates greater than 40% grew 164% between 1990 and 2016. Five of the highest poverty zip codes in Rochester (14621, 14611, 14609, 14605 and 14613) account for the majority of JD, JO, PINS and AO youth. These neighborhoods demonstrate not only high poverty rates, but high rates of unemployment, single parent families, school drop-outs, teen pregnancy and infant mortality, as well as poor graduation rates, poor housing and limited access to health care. The residents of those neighborhoods are predominantly Black and Hispanic. Youth in these high poverty areas tend to have experienced more trauma, family fragmentation and toxic stress than their peers because of where they live. This exposure is compounded by the lack of access to services, supports and pro-social opportunities in their neighborhoods.

2. (a) Compare the racial/ethnic distributions among your local system's detention and/or residential placements with the racial/ethnic distributions in your municipality's general population; then (b) Discuss any racial/ethnic disparities you identified and how this plan will address the disparities:

In Monroe County approximately 60% of youth are white, 21% are Black and 15% Hispanic, but in the City approximately 33% of youth are white, 44% are Black and 22% are Hispanic/Latino. Graduation rates vary by race and ethnicity County wide, although the gap is beginning to decrease: County wide, 92% of white students, 71% of African American and 74% of Latinos graduated on time in 2019. In the Rochester City School District, the graduation rate for all youth, regardless of race, is 63-64%. (Source: ACT Rochester). Disparity is evident across our JJ system, as is evidenced in our STSJP data, and grows with deeper penetration into the system. Disparity is most pronounced at the point of detention and placement. While black youth represent 21% of all youth age 10-19 in Monroe County and 44% of youth in the City of Rochester, 2019 data indicates that black youth accounted for 63% of JD detention admissions, 81% of JO admissions and 67% of AO admissions. Black youth accounted for 70% of JD placements in 2018. While Hispanic youth represent 14% of the juvenile population in the County and 22% of youth in the City, in 2019 they accounted for 20% of JD detention admissions, 5% of JO admissions and 8% of AO admissions. In 2018, Hispanic youth accounted for 4% of JD placements. In 2019, Black youth were 10.25 times more likely to be detained than white youth. Hispanic youth were 4.25 times more to be detained than white youth.

Monroe County has worked diligently to decrease the number of youth detained and placed. We have closed our non-secure detention and have had no pre-dispositional placements, nor PINS dispositional placements in 2020. Our use of secure detention is down 49% over the past 4 years and the rate of JD placement is down 25% over the same period of time. However, as these numbers have decreased, disparities have actually increased. Secure detention has so few white youth admitted that while the percentage of white youth admitted has increased over 80% between 2014 and 2018, white youth still represent just 15% of JD detention admissions. The percent of black youth admitted to secure detention has decreased 40% during the same period of time, but they represented a higher percentage of youth admitted in 2018, (78% in 2018) before returning in 2019 to levels (63%) seen in 2014.

With the support of the Juvenile Justice Planner, a work group with broad community representation has been developed to examine and address these disparities. Currently the group is looking at intake practices and developing information guides for youth and families to increase knowledge and understanding of choice points, options and potential outcomes with the goal of increased informed decision-making and active partnership on the part of youth

and families. A policy and procedure sub-group recently revised intake letters to be more culturally sensitive and informative and has begun examining decision making practices around intake and assessment to reduce or eliminate potential implicit bias points. A youth voice sub-group is formulating virtual forums and paper surveys to elicit youth feedback on the Juvenile Justice system, particularly as it relates to equity. Similar efforts are taking place in other programs who are a part of this plan. The Family Support Center is looking at educational seminars for families and the System of Care Team is designed to promote and support youth guided and family driven principles, as well as education and training around diversity, values, self-awareness, decision-making and trauma throughout the community, within STSJP funded programs and directly with families. Reducing disparities through education, support and empowerment at the front end will ultimately reduce disparities at the back end.

As a community, Monroe County and the City of Rochester have formed RASE, the Committee on Racial and structural Equity. A Juvenile Justice sub-committee has been established specifically to address practices and generate recommendations for systems improvement.

**C. Local Collaboration**

1. STSJP legislation requires local collaboration. Please describe your municipality’s activities in developing this year’s STSJP plan in collaboration with local agencies responsible for probation, law enforcement, detention, diversion, social services, courts, service providers, schools, and youth development programs:

Monroe County has a long history of active collaboration around Juvenile Justice, identifying and addressing challenges and generating solutions. All STSJP program data is reviewed by JART (Juvenile Alternatives & Reform Team) and Juvenile Justice Council (JJC) on a quarterly basis to assess system gaps, explore alternatives for programming and recommend new directions and services for the STSJP Plan. Three JART subgroups addressing Detention Reform, Equity and Raise the Age also review data relevant to their mission on a regular basis. All groups are comprised of various system stakeholders. The JJC, as the overall oversight and planning body, is comprised of representatives from LDSS, Probation, Office of Mental Health, Family Court, Detention, Juvenile Prosecutor's Office, Attorney for the Child Program, Judges, OCFS, Youth Bureau, several community based agencies and community members. While we have been unsuccessful, especially during COVID, in having ongoing youth and family voice at JJC, we continue to consult with Family Voice (our family support, resource sharing advocacy group) and SWAT (our cross-system youth advocacy group) as a means by which to gain consumer input. More recently, our Equity group has begun planning youth surveys and forums to secure feedback about the juvenile justice system which will be incorporated into future planning.

The 2020-21 application was developed based on input and feedback received over the course of the year and was written through active collaboration with staff from Probation, Office of Mental Health and DHS (LDSS).

**PART II – PROGRAM LEVEL DETAILS**

**PROGRAM 1**

**A. Program 1 Contact Information**

Program 1 Name: ATD Team

Operating Agency: Monroe County Office of Probation - Community Connections

Program Mailing Address: 33 N. Fitzhugh St

Address Line 2:

City: Rochester

State: **NY**

Zip Code: 14614

Program Contact’s Name: Kristine Durante

Title: Assistant Chief Probation Officer

Phone: (585) 753-3382

Ext:

Email: kdurante@monroecounty.gov

**B. Program 1 Description and Target Population**

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021.  Yes  No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:  
All Monroe County zip codes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

The primary goals of the ATD Team are to reduce the use of detention for JD and JO youth, and pre-dispositional placement for PINS youth, as well as increase the appearance rate and decrease the number of warrants issued. There are five components to ATD for JD/JO/PINS youth: (1) monitor youth 7 days and several evenings a week via field visits, curfew and school checks, (2) identify and address barriers to court appearances and refer to services as appropriate; (3) provide assessment/recommendations regarding the use of Electronic Monitoring & provide service when so ordered; (4) maintain TeleTask system (calls & text) as reminders for court appearances; (5) Provide IPR (Immediate Probation Response) at the court's request as an alternative to the court issuing warrants. All PINS petitions are reviewed prior to 1st appearance so that ATD can provide recommendations to court around alternatives to PDP. The ATD Team is active in the field with families as well as the youth, making service and resource referrals not only for the youth, but for families as needed. Reminders of court appearances are sent to both families and youth.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations?  Yes  No

**C. Program 1 Performance History** (Refer to your municipality’s STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?  
 None (If none, skip to section D.)  STSJP  STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	37	40	0	0	0	0	77
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	37	40	0	0	0	0	77

3. Based on the program’s record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:  
Numbers in the first half of the year were on pace to meet targets, However, due to COVID-19 and significant reduction in court availability, the program is expected to be under capacity for JD/JO/PINS at year's end.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	97.59	77.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0	0.00	0

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

Average length of service for ATD is generally longer than what would be expected for detention stays. LOS for ATD is difficult to compare to our detention LOS however, because the wide range in detention stays makes "average" a poor measure. We have some youth who are brought in over night and released the next day, as well as youth who are detained for lengthy detention stays (up to 113 days this past year), which are not computed until discharge. Additionally, lengths of stay with ATD for all populations is likely to be up in the second half of the year, particularly for PINS, as there has been an increase in the number and/or length of adjournments due to COVID-19. Length of service for PINS youth has also been affected by an Executive Order to vacate PINS Warrants that were signed during COVID-19 and to reissue a Notice to Appear, which is generally farther in the future than usual. ATD continues to provide service during these lengthy periods of adjournment.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?  
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.)      Partially      No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?  
 Goals for youth served have been met or very nearly met. For the program year, 87% of JD/JO youth and 80% of PINS youth attended all court appearances, 80% of JD/JO youth and 75% of PINS youth had no warrants issued, 86% of JD/JO youth and 97% of PINS you were not arrested, and 68 % of JD/JO youth avoided detention and 100% of PINS youth avoided PDP.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.  
 The target number of youth served for this year was 200. The program was close to being on target until COVID-19 and will fall short of serving the intended number of youth. Referrals from court decreased significantly when the court was hearing only essential cases. Also, PINS referrals to ATD continue to decline as more youth are more successful with community programming and fewer are referred for court action.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?  
 The program works exceptionally well for these high risk youth when youth are referred by the court. The program will continue to work with the court to assess and recommend referral for appropriate youth, especially as more cases begin to be heard again.

**D. Program 1 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021**

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	Total
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	60	80	0	0	0	0	140
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0			0
Total	0	0	60	80	0	0			140

PROGRAM	2																					
<b>A. Program 2 Contact Information</b>																						
Program 2 Name: Juvenile Justice Mental Health Clinical Support Team																						
Operating Agency: Coordinated Care Services Inc																						
Program Mailing Address: 1099 Jay Street																						
Address Line 2: BLDG J																						
City: Rochester	State: <b>NY</b> Zip Code: 14611																					
Program Contact's Name: Melissa Hayward	Title: Senior Manager, Children's Behavioral Health																					
Phone: (585) 753-2909      Ext:	Email: mhayward@monroecounty.gov																					
<b>B. Program 2 Description and Target Population</b>																						
1. This program meets the legal definition of a <b>Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)</b> and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No																						
2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:																						
<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr style="border-bottom: 1px solid black;"> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: left;">STSJP</th> <th style="width: 10%; text-align: left;">STSJP-RTA</th> <th style="width: 80%;"></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Prevention (P)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Early Intervention (EI)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Alternative to Placement (ATP)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Indirect Services</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		STSJP	STSJP-RTA		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services
STSJP	STSJP-RTA																					
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)																				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)																				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)																				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)																				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)																				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services																				
3. Please list the zip codes this program will target: All Monroe County zipcodes																						
4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.  Mental Health assessment, evaluation, consultation and referral and engagement services will be provided for pre- and post adjudicated PINS, JD and JO youth in MCFC and/or Youth Part, and/or active with Probation and/or the Family Support Center to 1) assist in maintaining youth pending the outcome of their case, 2) provide timely and accurate clinical information to assist in decision making & care planning, 3) recommend appropriate modality/intensity of Behavioral Health Services and 4) assist in referral and engagement for ongoing treatment services. As availability allows, the team also serves RTA youth. As this extension of service has not been approved in the RTA plan, it is not billed as STSJP-RTA. Monroe County believes this is an important adjunct service and will continue to provide it to our older youth, regardless of payment mechanism.																						
5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No																						
<b>C. Program 2 Performance History</b> (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)																						
1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020? <input type="checkbox"/> None (If none, skip to section D.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STSJP <input type="checkbox"/> STSJP-RTA																						



2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

The target for youth served for the JJMHC team is 150 youth. The team exceeded that target by 39%, serving 209 youth in the 2019-2020 Program Year.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

The average length of involvement on a youth's case for the 19-20 PY is about 2 weeks, which is appropriate for an indirect service. However, in the 4th quarter, the average length of involvement jumped to 3 weeks, which is most likely a result of the creation of a specialized mental health caseload in probation, which may require longer JJMHC involvement due to case complexity and need.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?

Yes (If yes, skip to section D.)       Partially       No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

Targets include timely delivery of material to court. The program exceeded its target, with 100% of requested assessments delivered to court within specified time frames.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

None

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

None

**D. Program 2 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021**

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**PROGRAM 3**

**A. Program 3 Contact Information**

Program 3 Name: Administrative Specialist-Educational Liaison

Operating Agency: Rochester City School District

Program Mailing Address: 39 West Broad Street

Address Line 2:

City: Rochester State: NY Zip Code: 14604

Program Contact's Name: Elizabeth Hoffer Title: Director of Attendance

Phone: (585) 262-8788 Ext: Email: Elizabeth.Hoffer@RCSDK12.org

**B. Program 3 Description and Target Population**

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021.  Yes  No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:  
 All City Zip Codes 14605, 14607, 14618, 14610, 14608, 14613, 14606, 14614, 14604, 14627, 14617, 14619, 14620, 14611, 14615, 14616, 14612, 14622, 14621, 14609

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

The Liaison works on behalf of detained/placed youth who could be/are released (pre or post adjudication) to facilitate re-entry into school: ensuring good communication, identifying & addressing potential barriers, working with schools to develop re-entry plans, set up class schedules, secure uniforms/supplies, meet with parents and staff and requesting alternative placement if needed. The Liaison also provides education consultation (reviewing records, interpreting IEPs, assessing appropriateness of current programming, accessing CSE where necessary), educational advocacy and care planning for PINS/JD/JO/AO youth who are active with Probation.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations?  Yes  No

**C. Program 3 Performance History** (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?  
 None (If none, skip to section D.)     STSJP     STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:  
 The projected number of youth served by this service was 250 PINS/JD/JO youth and 150 RTA youth (RTA-JD & AO) The program fell short of that target, primarily due to COVID-19, as schools went remote and there were fewer youth active in the system.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.  
 The average length of service for the Administrative Assistant-Educational Liaison for all populations is 1 day which is appropriate for an indirect service, which serves primarily as a consultant & liaison.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?  
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.)     Partially     No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?  
 100% of requests were met within 3 days, exceeding the targets set for this service.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.  
 The majority of services are short term and informational in nature. We had anticipated more referrals from both Secure & Specialized Secure detention as part of re-entry planning and subsequently longer periods of service.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?  
 Referrals from detention for educational discharge planning have been less than expected. Some of this is due to limited discharges during COVID and limited in-person planning meetings. Efforts have been and will continue to be made to include the Education Liaison in discharge planning meetings and facilitate the relationship with casework staff at the Children's Center to increase referrals.

**D. Program 3 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021**

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**PROGRAM 4**

**A. Program 4 Contact Information**

Program 4 Name: Juvenile Justice Services Planner

Operating Agency: Monroe County Department of Human Services

Program Mailing Address: 111 Westfall Road

Address Line 2:

City: Rochester

State: NY

Zip Code: 14620

Program Contact's Name: Amy Natale-McConnell

Title: Director of Family Services

Phone: (585) 753-6341

Ext:

Email: Amy.Natale-McConnell@dfa.state.ny.us

**B. Program 4 Description and Target Population**

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021.  Yes  No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

All Monroe County zip codes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

The Juvenile Justice Planner drives and supports reform across the JJ system, collecting, cleaning and analyzing data to track youth from local systems, as well as JJIS, JDAS & DRAI, to measure outcomes, inform decision making and ensure cross-system collaboration and planning, with particular emphasis on reducing JD & PINS detention and placement, assisting in the development of new programs and services, increasing youth and family voice, expanding the ATD array and reducing racial, ethnic and gender disparities at all juvenile justice contact points. The JJ Planner serves as the Coordinator of JART (Juvenile Alternatives & Reform Team) and also works with the Finger Lakes RYJT and the Statewide PYJ around various regional and state-wide planning efforts and initiatives.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations?  Yes  No

**C. Program 4 Performance History** (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?  
 None (If none, skip to section D.)  STSJP  STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:  
 N/A Indirect service

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.  
 N/A

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?  
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.)  Partially  No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?  
 N/A

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.  
 N/A

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?  
 N/A

**D. Program 4 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021**

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**PROGRAM 5**

**A. Program 5 Contact Information**

Program 5 Name: Mediation		
Operating Agency: Center for Dispute Settlement		
Program Mailing Address: 13 East Main Street		
Address Line 2:		
City: Rochester	State: <b>NY</b>	Zip Code: 14614
Program Contact's Name: Frank Liberti		Title: President/CEO
Phone: (585) 546-5110	Ext:	Email: fliberti@cadsadr.org

**B. Program 5 Description and Target Population**

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021.  Yes  No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:  
All Monroe County zip codes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.  
Family conflict can prohibit youth from being successful in their homes and in their communities and may lead to runaway and other dangerous behaviors that may require court intervention. Similarly, youth who have been placed outside of their homes may need extra support in addressing conflict as the youth returns home. The Mediation program provides mediation/arbitration and conflict coaching to address family conflict through the development of conflict resolution and problem solving skills to youth and their families prior to petition and/or at the diversion level to reduce the need for a petition. Previously, service was limited to PINS Early Intervention, but we plan to expand services to include JD Diversion, as a Preventive Service through FACT and as a Re-entry service for PINS & JD youth returning from out of home placement.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations?  Yes  No

**C. Program 5 Performance History (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)**

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?  
 None (If none, skip to section D.)  STSJP  STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:  
 Because of contracting delays lasting into the 2nd quarter, there were no referrals in the first quarter. CDS engaged in extensive outreach and connection with FACT and received 12 referrals in the second half of the year, exceeding the 6 month projection, even during the pandemic. This service is extremely important in achieving goals and will be increased, as described above in 2020-2021.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	11.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.  
 Since only 1 case out of 3 referred in the 1st half of the year closed, and the family made the choice to discontinue service, the 11 day average above is not considered representative of the program's length of stay. In fact, data received for the last 6 months indicates that average length of service is closer to 80 days, which is appropriate for an Early Intervention service.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?  
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.)     Partially     No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?  
 Youth avoiding suspension, truancy, arrest & petition during the period of service all range between 90% and 100%.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.  
 CDS has met their target outcomes, but not their target number served, due to delays in contracting. As CDS is able to expand their services across the continuum, the flexibility inherent in a broader approach will allow maximization of service provision and will serve to assist families and youth where ever conflict arises.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?  
 Service delivery has been expanded to include Re-Entry and Prevention, as well as JD Diversion. Once the plan is approved, outreach with new/additional programs will commence to ensure appropriate referrals.

**D. Program 5 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021**

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							Total	
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP		R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	15	20						5	40
STSJP-RTA									
<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>						<b>5</b>	<b>40</b>

**PROGRAM 6**

**A. Program 6 Contact Information**

Program 6 Name: FACT Family Support Program

Operating Agency: Coordinated Care Services Inc (CCSI)

Program Mailing Address: 1099 Jay Street

Address Line 2: Bldg J

City: Rochester

State: **NY**

Zip Code: 14611

Program Contact's Name: Melissa Hayward

Title: Senior Manager Children's Behavioral Health

Phone: (585) 753-2909 Ext:

Email: mhayward@monroecounty.gov

**B. Program 6 Description and Target Population**

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021.  Yes  No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

All Monroe County zip codes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

The FACT Family Support Program provides community-based supportive services to children and families to prevent petition, adjudication and placement of youth at-risk of becoming, or alleged to be a PINS. Services are trauma informed, gender responsive, family oriented and strength based and include crisis intervention, rapid triage and assessment, behavioral health screening, case management, family intervention and support, and skill building, active partnering with schools and educational advocacy and referral to other community programming, including respite where appropriate. FACT provides regular evening offices hours and has the capacity to provide services on weekends when needed. The program serves as the entrance point to and programmatic hub for the community wide multi-disciplinary team, which continues to grow as partners are added. FACT will be expanding



services this year to include youth re-entering the community from out of home placement to ensure successful reintegration and avoid the need for additional court involvement or out of home placement. The lack of Re-entry/AfterCare services within STSJ services has been a gap in prior years which we intend to close by providing a direct link to the community for those returning from out of home placement, as those first few months are essential in successful re-integration to reduce the risk of a return to placement.

Additional, one time funding made available by OCFS in this program year will be used towards further development and access to the Salesforce data base for FACT staff. The data base was created with one-time funding for PINS in the 2019-2020 program year to improve program efficiencies, streamline documentation and data reporting, and assess needs and evaluate outcomes, leading to more data driven decision making.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations?  Yes  No

**C. Program 6 Performance History** (Refer to your municipality's STSJ data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?  
 None (If none, skip to section D.)  STSJP  STSJ-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	355	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	409
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	355	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	409

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:  
 FACT's anticipated target for youth served was 750 for the 2019-2020 Program Year. FACT exceeded that number in the 1st half of the year, when prorated for the 6 month period. Referrals to the program dropped off precipitously with COVID-19, as schools closed and youth stayed closer to home. As a result, numbers served are likely to be under target at year's end.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	76.69	121.87	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.  
 The average length of prevention services is as expected, as a thorough assessment, referral and linkage is expected to take 2-3 months. Early Intervention services time frames are also as expected in that these youth have more needs and require longer involvement and/or more intensive intervention to achieve desired outcomes.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?  
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.)  Partially  No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

FACT is on track to achieve goals for 2019-20. Data from the first 6 months were under reported in 2 goal categories while the data collection system was in development. In the second half of the year, all goals were met with the exception of youth reported as experiencing truancy.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

As mentioned earlier, the Rochester City School District has a significant problem with truancy for adolescent youth. Many of these youth present to FACT. Although FACT has made progress in addressing truancy to the point that it does not meet the criteria for petition, truancy continues to be the one area where targets are not being met. The program recently noted that truancy has been less of an issue this fall. Many barriers to attendance, particularly around basic needs such as adequate clothing and transportation, as well as social anxiety for youth with mental health issues, have been removed. Still the definition of this measure needs to be revisited, as well as strategies to help youth be more successful in this area. Additionally, we need to take a closer look at the way truancy is coded within the newly developed data system.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

Clarity and consistency in the definition of "experiencing truancy" has been accomplished and the data system review is underway. Additionally, FACT facilitators have become much more active in the field, which is especially important for youth with a tendency to withdraw from, or otherwise avoid school. It will also be important to look at lessons learned as a result of modifications necessary for COVID, to develop alternative plans for youth facing significant barriers to attendance.

**D. Program 6 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021**

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP							
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)				
STSJP	660	80	0	0	0	0	0	10	750	
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	
Total	660	80	0	0	0	0	0	10	750	

**PROGRAM 7**

**A. Program 7 Contact Information**

Program 7 Name: PINS Probation Services

Operating Agency: Monroe County Office of Probation - Community Corrections

Program Mailing Address: 33 N. Fitzhugh St

Address Line 2:

City: Rochester

State: NY

Zip Code: 14614

Program Contact's Name: Kristine Durante

Title: Assistant Chief Probation Officer

Phone: (585) 753-3382 Ext:

Email: kdurante@monroecounty.gov

**B. Program 7 Description and Target Population**

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021.  Yes  No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:  
All Monroe County zip codes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

As part of the Family Support Multidisciplinary team, the Probation Team focuses on providing pre-diversion and diversion services to youth at risk of becoming, alleged to be, or adjudicated as a PINS to prevent further penetration into the juvenile justice system for youth at risk of court involvement and out of home placement. The team is co-located with the Family Support Center and will serve youth who require additional support within the Support Center, as well as youth on diversion. The Team also provides comprehensive Runaway Response services to quickly identify and locate missing teens. In addition, the Team also provides community based supervision and services for youth on probation and at risk of out of home placement. The team will be co-located with the Family Support Center to improve accessibility to other community programs and services housed within and attached to the Center, as well as to limit exposure to juvenile delinquents and adult offenders at probation's primary location. Goals of the program include reducing the number of Violations of Probation filed and reducing the number of PINS youth placed out of the home.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations?  Yes  No

**C. Program 7 Performance History** (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?  
 None (If none, skip to section D.)  STSJP  STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	85	0	0	0	0	21	0	106
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	85	0	0	0	0	21	0	106

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

The program is expected to be under capacity by year's end, due to fewer youth served during COVID and the declining number of youth on PINS supervision. With more youth being effectively diverted, fewer youth are going through the court process. With the courts only hearing essential cases, PINS youth remain pending in the court process and have not moved to supervision. At the close of the 2019-20 PY, just 3 PINS youth were on

supervision. As courts open up and the system begins to move a bit more, it will be important to monitor PINS dispositions to inform future planning.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	113.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	290.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

The average length of stay for youth receiving both EI and ATP is as expected. Youth are active with Probation EI for just under 4 months, on average, which is consistent with expected length of diversion services. Youth are active with ATP slightly under 10 months, which is actually less than the usual 12-month supervision disposition for PINS youth, indicating that some youth are terminating early.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?

Yes (If yes, skip to section D.)     Partially     No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

Overall, 81% of all youth consistently complied with program rules and attended better than 90% of program activities. 85% were not arrested and 100% avoided placement.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

Outcomes around warrants issued and violations of placement for the ATP component of the program were not as expected in the 1st half of the year. Data from the 2nd half of the year will need to be considered before making substantial changes in programming. Another consideration is that there are so few PINS youth under supervision that one warrant or one VOP can significantly alter the over all percentage rate. "Truancy" in both programs was not on track during the 1st half of the year, but similar to FACT, may have been over reported due to differential interpretation of the term.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

Clarity and consistency in the definition of "experiencing truancy" have been made. To respond to the shrinking number of PINS youth on supervision, this year's budget reflects one fewer officer.

**D. Program 7 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021**

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	Total
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	150	0	0	0	0	25	0	175
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	150	0	0	0	0	25	0	175

**PROGRAM 8**

**A. Program 8 Contact Information**

Program 8 Name: Youth and Family Partnership

Operating Agency: Coordinated Care Services Inc (CCSI)		
Program Mailing Address: 1099 Jay St		
Address Line 2: Bldg J		
City: Rochester	State: NY	Zip Code: 14611
Program Contact's Name: Melissa Hayward		Title: Senior Manager Children's Behavioral Health
Phone: (585) 753-2909	Ext:	Email: mhayward@monroecounty.gov

**B. Program 8 Description and Target Population**

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021.  Yes  No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:  
All Monroe County zip codes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

Youth and Family Partnership provides Wrap-Around services to youth and their families where the youth is at risk of, or actively demonstrating PINS behaviors, to prevent the need for court involvement, including petition, adjudication or out of home placement. YFP provides trauma-responsive, family focused and gender-responsive comprehensive services through construction of a Child and Family Team (CFT) that includes formal (paid providers and systems) and informal (family, friends and community) supports. Services, including CFT meetings, are available in the evenings and on weekends as needed. Based upon the strengths, needs and cultural discovery assessment tool, and under the guidance of the YFP Care Manager, the CFT develops a comprehensive, unique plan for each youth and family that builds on strengths and addresses unmet needs and lagging skills that result in poor choices and risky and/or dangerous behaviors and otherwise supports the family. Plans include, but are not limited to: crisis intervention where necessary; communication, relationship building, problem solving and advocacy skills development; referrals for mental health, substance abuse services, respite, mediation and other services where appropriate; educational advocacy; and ongoing care coordination and management. The CFT process ensures that youth and family have a voice and choice in the planning and that progress can be sustained after the program ends. Youth requiring this more intensive, longer-term service will be identified and referred by FACT and/or probation, as well as youth exhibiting PINS behaviors who are cross system involved with Child Protective Services.

Additional, one time funding made available by OCFS in this program year will be used to create, develop and implement a new data base and record keeping system for Youth and Family Partnership. The system will provide a platform to document assessments, interventions, interactions and outcomes for each youth and family, as well as track and report data for more comprehensive systems analyses. Improved program efficiencies in service

delivery and the ability to better assess needs and evaluate outcomes to continuously improve quality of services is expected to benefit youth and families.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations?  Yes  No

**C. Program 8 Performance History** (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?  
 None (If none, skip to section D.)  STSJP  STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	20	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	64
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>64</b>

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:  
 YFP exceeded it's annual target of 50 youth served in the 1st 6 months of the year. The program routinely goes over census in order to meet the need, with the program manager taking on cases for those in immediate need.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	302.25	242.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.  
 While the length of time youth are active with prevention would, in general, be expected to be less than those receiving early intervention services, it is important to note that a high proportion of the prevention population are youth with significant mental health needs who are at risk of PINS, but not yet involved with probation. As such, additional time is required to thoroughly assess, plan for and address the complexity of these youths' and their family's needs, as well as build capacity to sustain gains.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?  
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.)  Partially  No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?  
 Compliance and attendance are in the 95%-100% range. Youth avoiding arrest and petition to court are 90% and above, depending on the service type. Successful completion of the Early Intevention component is approximately 80%

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.  
 Like FACT & Probation, truancy remains a challenge, particularly for youth with significant internalized mental health behaviors. Like the other programs, we are looking at the definition to ensure that data is being reportedly consistently across programs, as well as potential shifts with COVID that allow youth with anxiety to attend school remotely.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?  
 As mentioned, the definition of truancy, as well as exploring and adopting additional ways of addressing poor school attendance are in process.

**D. Program 8 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021**

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding			Program Type				ATP	R/A	Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP						
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	25	30					5		60
STSJP-RTA									
<b>Total</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>30</b>					<b>5</b>		<b>60</b>

**PROGRAM 9**

**A. Program 9 Contact Information**

Program 9 Name: System of Care Resource Team

Operating Agency: Coordinated Care Services Inc (CCSI)

Program Mailing Address: 1099 Jay Street

Address Line 2: Bldg. J

City: Rochester

State: **NY**

Zip Code: 14611

Program Contact's Name: Melissa Hayward

Title: Senior Manager Children's Behavioral Health

Phone: (585) 753-2909 Ext:

Email: mhayward@monroecounty.gov

**B. Program 9 Description and Target Population**

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021.  Yes  No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

All Monroe County zip codes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

As a program within the Family Support Multidisciplinary team, the System of Care team focuses on outreach, education, training, advocacy and support to families, community members and agencies to increase awareness of resources, provide training around System of Care values and principles, promote the inclusion of fathers in the

lives of youth, promote & ensure community connectedness and improve youth and family support networks. Out of the System of Care Team comes the Fatherhood Connection which provides education, support and advocacy specific to fathers; Family Voice, an information, sharing, support and advocacy group for system involved family members; and SWAT (Spreading Wellness Around Town), our youth advocacy and support network for system involved youth.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations?  Yes  No

**C. Program 9 Performance History** (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?

None (If none, skip to section D.)  STSJP  STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

N/A

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

N/A - Indirect Service

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?

Yes (If yes, skip to section D.)  Partially  No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

N/A

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

N/A

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

N/A

**D. Program 9 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021**



1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**PROGRAM 10**

**A. Program 10 Contact Information**

Program 10 Name: Respite

Operating Agency: TBA

Program Mailing Address: TBA

Address Line 2:

City: State: **NY** Zip Code:

Program Contact's Name: TBA Title:

Phone: ( ) Ext: Email:

**B. Program 10 Description and Target Population**

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021.  Yes  No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

All Monroe County zip codes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

Monroe County was unsuccessful in contracting with a Respite provider last year. An RFP was released, but the one response received was prohibitively expensive. Collaborative planning discussions with community providers have continued and we plan to re-issue a modified RFP in hopes that in this different time, one or more of our community partners would respond with perhaps a different model. Although Monroe has seen no pre-dispositional placements this year and PINS petitions have decreased markedly, there is still need for designated respite for Juvenile Justice youth within the community. PINS youth who are likely to be petitioned to court, pre-dispositionally or long term placed, are primarily runaways, notably young women who are trafficked and/or youth with a high degree of family conflict. Both groups could benefit from short term respite to provide a "cooling off"

period to mobilize services, engage family members and ultimately prevent the need for a more formal out of home placement or further penetration into the system. Youth would be referred through the Family Support Center or by Probation either by the ATD Team as an alternative to Pre-dispositional placement or detention or at the diversion or supervision level for both PINS and JDs. Specific targets and outcomes will be identified at the time of vendor selection. It is difficult to ascertain exactly where on the continuum of service Respite would fall, but we intend to keep it open for maximum utilization, with a somewhat heavier emphasis on alternatives to Pre-dispositional placement or detention.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations?  Yes  No

**C. Program 10 Performance History** (Refer to your municipality's STSJ data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?  
 None (If none, skip to section D.)  STSJ  STSJ-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJ-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:  
 N/A

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJ	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJ-RTA	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.  
 N/A

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?  
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.)  Partially  No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

**D. Program 10 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021**

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	2	3	3	5	0	0	2	0	15
STSJP-RTA	0	0			0	0	0	0	0
Total	2	3	3	5	0	0	2	0	15

**PROGRAM 11**

**A. Program 11 Contact Information**

Program 11 Name: EI-ATD/Electronic Monitoring

Operating Agency: Monroe County Probation

Program Mailing Address: 33 N. Fitzhugh St.

Address Line 2:

City: Rochester

State: NY

Zip Code: 14614

Program Contact's Name: Kristine Durante

Title: Assistant Chief Probation Officer

Phone: (585) 753-3382

Ext:

Email: kdurante@monroecounty.gov

**B. Program 11 Description and Target Population**

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021.  Yes  No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

All Monroe County zip codes

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered "yes" to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

In the 2019-2020 STSJP Program Year, this STSJP-RTA approved service provided Electronic Monitoring for JD-RTA and AO youth during court pendency as an Alternative to Detention. This included assessing RTA youth before the court for appropriateness of EM as an alternative to detention, as well as the provision of recommendations to the court regarding the use of EM. When EM services were ordered by the court, Probation provided, managed and oversaw the use of Electronic Monitoring and provided ongoing reports to the court. This component of service is expected to reduce the number of youth detained and/or the length of detention stay. In the 2020-2021 Program Year, pending approval of Monroe County's revised RTA plan, the use of EM as an alternative to detention will continue and is expected to expand to reach additional youth.

New for the 2020-2021 Program Year, pending approval of Monroe County's RTA plan, the team will extend services to begin providing Early Intervention (Diversion) Services for youth originally charged as an AO, but subsequently removed from Youth Part to Family Court. This program expansion is predicated on the idea that youth originally charged as AO's have been charged with more serious crimes and may be more closely monitored by the court, making the expansion through the ATD Team, which is based at the Hall of Justice, a logical choice. Similarly, it is expected that these youth will require increased monitoring and more intensive and frequent contact. As most of these youth participated in the Voluntary Assessment and Case Planning process with the Team while in Youth Part, they are familiar with the team and planning will not need to start over with a new officer/new team, saving time, improving engagement and increasing program effectiveness.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations?  Yes  No

**C. Program 11 Performance History** (Refer to your municipality's STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?  
 None (If none, skip to section D.)  STSJP  STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	0			1	9	0	0	10
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	1	9	0	0	10

3. Based on the program's record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:  
 Numbers in the first half of the year were on pace to meet targets, however, due to COVID-19 and significant reduction in court availability, the program is expected to be under capacity at year's end. Additionally, we found that fewer AO's were being released on EM with the advent of bail reform.

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STSJP-RTA	0.00	0.00			47.00	86.83	0.00	0.00

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.  
 Average length of service for EM is generally longer than what would be expected for usual detention stays. However, during the pandemic and because of the seriousness of AO offenses, length of detention stays have also increased. Additionally, LOS for EM is difficult to compare to our detention LOS because the wide range (1 to 379 days) makes "average" a poor measure. We have some youth who are brought in over night and released, sometimes on bail, the next day, as well as youth who are detained for lengthy detention stays (a year or more), which are not computed until discharge.

6. Will this program's outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?  
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.)  Partially  No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?

Goals for youth served in the EM component have been met or very nearly met. During the PY, 92% of youth attended all court appearances, 92% of youth had no warrants issued, 100% were not rearrested, and 85% avoided detention.

8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.

The target number of youth served for this year was 24. The program was on track to meet the target, until COVID-19. Referrals from court decreased significantly when the court was hearing only essential cases. It also appears that the Court is not releasing as many AO's to EM anymore as a result of reform.

9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

The program works exceptionally well for these high risk youth when youth are referred by the court. The program will continue to work with the court to assess and recommend referral to EM for appropriate youth, especially as more cases begin to be heard again. Additionally, the addition of Early Intervention services for AO's removed to Family Court is expected to further decrease the need for/reliance on detention and allow the program to promote resiliency and community connection for these youth.

**D. Program 11 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021**

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	Total
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STSJP-RTA	0	60			10	26	0	0	96
Total	0	60	0	0	10	26	0	0	96

**PROGRAM 12**

**A. Program 12 Contact Information**

Program 12 Name:

Operating Agency:

Program Mailing Address:

Address Line 2:

City: State: **NY** Zip Code:

Program Contact's Name: Title:

Phone: ( ) Ext: Email:

**B. Program 12 Description and Target Population**

1. This program meets the legal definition of a **Family Support Services (FSS) program (Social Services Law Section 458-m)** and will operate in this capacity for PY 2020-2021.  Yes  No

2. Please check all applicable boxes below to identify the service types that will be utilized for this program in PY 2020-2021:

STSJP	STSJP-RTA	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Prevention (P)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Early Intervention (EI)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement (ATD / ATPDP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Alternative to Placement (ATP)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reentry / Aftercare (R / A)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Indirect Services

3. Please list the zip codes this program will target:

4. Describe the program, including how it is family focused. If you answered “yes” to Q1 (FSS), be sure to demonstrate how your program meets the definition. Also, please explain how each of the service types selected in Q2 will address the unique needs of youth at that system point, and how those services will aid in the reduction of youth detained and residentially or otherwise placed. If you selected Indirect Services, please list them.

5. Is the program capable of being replicated across multiple locations?  Yes  No

**C. Program 12 Performance History** (Refer to your municipality’s STSJP data files.)

1. What funding did this program receive in PY 2019-2020?  
 None (If none, skip to section D.)  STSJP  STSJP-RTA

2. Please use the table to record **how many youth (#) were served** by the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							Total	
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP		R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

3. Based on the program’s record of youth served (Q2), do you anticipate this program being over or under its budgeted capacity on 9/30/2020? Please explain:

4. Please use the table to record the **average lengths of service (days)** for youth who exited the program between 10/1/2019 and 3/31/2020. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type							
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)		
STSJP								
STSJP-RTA								

5. How do the average lengths of service (Q4) compare to what you would expect for that point? For ATD/PDP and ATP points, compare with the average lengths of stay for youth who were in detention and residential placements during the same time period.

6. Will this program’s outcomes meet the PY 2019-2020 projected goals by 9/30/2020?  
 Yes (If yes, skip to section D.)  Partially  No

7. (a) What outcomes are on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) How will they be met?
8. (a) What outcomes are not on track to meet the goals set for PY 2019-2020? (b) Please describe any contributing barriers.
9. What changes have been made to overcome the barriers identified in Q8, so that the program can achieve its desired goals for PY 2020-2021?

**D. Program 12 Service Projections for PY 2020-2021**

1. Please use the table to indicate the **projected # youth to be served** by the program for PY 2020-2021. Enter zero (0) if not applicable.

Approved Funding	Program Type								Total
	P	EI	ATD/ATPDP				ATP	R/A	
			(PINS)	(JO/JD)	(JD-RTA)	(AO)			
STSJP									
STSJP-RTA									
Total									

**PART III – Goals for PY 2020-2021**

Please set the municipality’s goals for its programs to achieve in PY 2020-2021. State-required outcomes have been included with space for you to add any locally collected outcomes. Goals are focused by service type and should reflect the percentage of youth expected to achieve the outcome described. Note: Outcomes are only recorded for youth once they have left the program; this may represent a subset of all the youth served during the period.

**Prevention**

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
90	%		%	of youth will have no PINS referrals during service engagement
70	%		%	of youth will have no truancies during service engagement
80	%		%	of youth will have no school suspensions during service engagement
80	%		%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
80	%		%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection <b>*(new)</b>
70	%		%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity <b>*(new)</b>
85	%		%	of youth will comply with program rules
90	%		%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming

**Other, locally collected outcomes:**

Measure #6 is lower for the coming program year than it will be in future years, due to limitations/restrictions on social and community activities as a result of COVID-19. We anticipate that once the shroud of COVID lifts, this percentage will increase.

**Early Intervention**

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
90	%	90	%	of youth will have no PINS referrals during service engagement
70	%	70	%	of youth will have no truancies during service engagement
80	%	80	%	of youth will have no school suspensions during service engagement
80	%	80	%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
90	%	85	%	of youth will have their cases successfully adjusted/diverted during service engagement
80	%	80	%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection <b>*(new)</b>

75	%	70	%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity * <b>(new)</b>
85	%	85	%	of youth will comply with program rules
90	%	90	%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming

**Other, locally collected outcomes:**

Measure #6 is lower for the coming program year than it will be in future years, due to limitations/restrictions on social and community activities as a result of COVID-19. The target for this particular measure is slightly higher than some service types because of the mix of programs providing this service and because the length of stay in some of the programs is longer. We anticipate that once the shroud of COVID lifts, this percentage will increase. Additionally, the STSJP-RTA EI component is new this year, pending RTA approval, and while this goal will be integrated into programming, not all the details about service delivery have been finalized/implemented, resulting in a slightly lower target at this time.

**Alternative to Detention / Pre-Dispositional Placement**

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
80	%	80	%	of youth will have no missed court appearances during service engagement
80	%	80	%	of youth will have no warrants issued during service engagement
80	%	80	%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
70	%	70	%	of youth will have no detention or jail admissions during service engagement
95	%		%	of PINS will have no pre-dispositional placements during service engagement
70	%	70	%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection * <b>(new)</b>
60	%	60	%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity * <b>(new)</b>
85	%	85	%	of youth will comply with program rules
90	%	90	%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming

**Other, locally collected outcomes:**

Measure #6 is much lower for the coming program year than expected to be in future years, due to a number of factors. Limitations/restrictions on social and community activities as a result of COVID-19 will limit opportunities for youth to be connected to programming. Additionally, there are inherent challenges for an ATD program such as ours to meet this goal. ATD is relatively short term in nature and begins and ends upon order of the court, not necessarily when all goals have been achieved. Court pendency is often a time of crisis. Linkages such as community activities may not be possible as crisis needs are addressed, at least in short-term cases. As the ATD team has not previously served this function, incorporating this as a strategy is expected to take some time. The STSJP-RTA component of ATD has been limited to EM by DOB, and given the nature of EM, limited success on this particular measure is expected, at least initially. We anticipate that once the shroud of COVID lifts, and we are able to successfully integrate this objective into the program, these percentage will increase.

**Alternative to Placement**

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
85	%		%	of youth will have no warrants issued during service engagement
85	%		%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
85	%		%	of youth will have no detention or jail admissions during service engagement
85	%		%	of PINS will have no pre-dispositional placements during service engagement
75	%		%	of youth will have no violations of probation filed during service engagement
95	%		%	of youth will have no new placements during service engagement
80	%		%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection * <b>(new)</b>
85	%		%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity * <b>(new)</b>
85	%		%	of youth will comply with program rules
90	%		%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming



**Other, locally collected outcomes:**

Measure #6 is more achievable for the ATP service type, even with limitations/restrictions on social and community activities as a result of COVID-19. The target for this particular measure is higher than other service types because of the mix of programs providing this service and because the length of stay is generally significantly longer.

**Reentry / Aftercare**

STSJP		STSJP RTA		Outcomes
85	%		%	of youth will have no warrants issued during service engagement
85	%		%	of youth will have no arrests or probation intakes during service engagement
85	%		%	of youth will have no detention or jail admissions during service engagement
95	%		%	of PINS will have no pre-dispositional placements during service engagement
95	%		%	of youth will have no new placements during service engagement
95	%		%	of youth will have no returns to their previous placements during service engagement
80	%		%	of youth will be able to identify at least one accessible, positive adult connection * <b>(new)</b>
75	%		%	of youth will be engaged in at least one positive community activity * <b>(new)</b>
85	%		%	of youth will comply with program rules
90	%		%	of youth will attend at least 90 percent of programming

**Other, locally collected outcomes:**

Re-entry/AfterCare is a newly added service type this year and as such, it remains relatively small as we further develop this component. Similar to other service types, we anticipate that Measure #6 will be lower for the coming program year than it will be in future years, due to limitations/restrictions on social and community activities as a result of COVID-19. We are also concerned that the small number of youth served will skew data across all measures and will not be truly representative as it would be with a large sample size.

**PART IV – FUNDING****A. Anticipated Program Expenses and Funding Distribution**

Program Name & Service Types	STSJP					STSJP- RTA
	Detention Allocation Shifted	PY20-21 STSJP Allocation	Total Expenses (100%)	Local Share (38%)	State Share (62%)	State Share (100%)
<b>1</b> ATD Team	<b>\$416,470.74</b>		<b>\$671,727.00</b>	<b>\$255,256.26</b>	<b>\$416,470.74</b>	
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP	\$416,470.74		\$671,727.00	\$255,256.26	416,470.74	
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
<b>2</b> Juvenile Justice Mental Health Clinical Support Team	<b>\$80,000.00</b>	<b>\$25,277.24</b>	<b>\$169,802.00</b>	<b>\$64,524.76</b>	<b>\$105,277.24</b>	
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect	\$80,000.00	\$25,277.24	\$169,802.00	\$64,524.76	\$105,277.24	
<b>3</b> Administrative Specialist/Educational Liaison	<b>\$35,375.58</b>	<b>\$4,000.00</b>	<b>\$63,509.00</b>	<b>\$24,133.42</b>	<b>\$39,375.58</b>	<b>\$85,000.00</b>
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect	\$35,375.58	\$4,000.00	\$63,509.00	\$21,133.24	\$39,375.58	\$85,000.00
<b>4</b> Juvenile Justice Services Planner	<b>\$32,550.00</b>	<b>\$32,550.00</b>	<b>\$105,000.00</b>	<b>\$39,900.00</b>	<b>\$65,100.00</b>	
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect	\$32,550.00	\$32,550.00	\$105,000.00	\$39,900.00	\$65,100.00	
<b>5</b> Mediation	<b>\$12,400.00</b>	<b>\$15,500.00</b>	<b>\$45,000.00</b>	<b>\$17,100.00</b>	<b>\$27,900.00</b>	
Prevention	\$3,100.00	\$3,100.00	\$10,000.00	\$3,800.00	\$6,200.00	
Early Intervention	\$9,300.00	\$9,300.00	\$30,000.00	\$11,400.00	\$18,600.00	
ATD/ATPDP						

ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare		\$3,100.00	\$5,000.00	\$1,900.00	3100.00	
Indirect						

Program Name & Service Types	STSJP					STSJP-RTA
	Detention Allocation Shifted	PY20-21 STSJP Allocation	Total Expenses (100%)	Local Share (38%)	State Share (62%)	State Share (100%)
<b>6</b> FACT Family Support Program	\$555,905.66	\$182,338.26	\$1,190,716.00	\$452,472.08	\$738,243.92	
Prevention	\$435,905.66	\$135,352.42	\$921,384.00	\$350,125.92	\$571,258.08	
Early Intervention	\$100,000.00	\$42,185.84	\$229,332.00	\$87,146.16	\$142,185.84	
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare	\$20,000.00	\$4,800.00	\$40,000.00	\$15,200.00	\$24,800.00	
Indirect						
<b>7</b> PINS Probation Services	\$250,936.72	\$62,529.08	\$505,590.00	\$192,124.20	\$313,465.80	
Prevention	\$0.00					
Early Intervention	\$200,000.00	\$62,529.08	\$423,434.00	\$160,904.92	\$262,529.08	
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP	\$50,936.72		\$82,156.00	\$31,219.28	\$50,936.72	
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
<b>8</b> Youth and Family Partnership	\$170,000.00	\$145,866.44	\$509,462.00	\$193,595.56	\$315,866.44	
Prevention	\$50,000.00	\$91,238.17	\$227,803.50	\$86,565.33	\$141,238.17	
Early Intervention	\$100,000.00	\$41,238.17	\$227,803.50	\$86,565.33	\$141,238.17	
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP	\$20,000.00	\$13,390.10	\$53,855.00	\$20,464.90	\$33,390.10	
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
<b>9</b> System of Care Resource Team	\$100,000.00	\$30,434.98	\$210,379.00	\$79,944.02	\$130,434.98	
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect	\$100,000.00	\$30,434.98	\$210,379.00	\$79,944.02	\$130,434.98	
<b>10</b> Respite	\$28,600.00	\$17,900.00	\$75,000.00	\$28,500.00	\$46,500.00	
Prevention		\$12,400.00	\$20,000.00	\$7,600.00	\$12,400.00	
Early Intervention	\$10,000.00	\$5,500.00	\$25,000.00	\$9,500.00	\$15,500.00	

ATD/ATPDP	\$12,400.00		\$20,000.00	\$7,600.00	\$12,400.00	
ATP	\$6,200.00		\$10,000.00	\$3,800.00	\$6,200.00	
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						

Program Name & Service Types	STSJP					STSJP-RTA
	Detention Allocation Shifted	PY20-21 STSJP Allocation	Total Expenses (100%)	Local Share (38%)	State Share (62%)	State Share (100%)
<b>11</b> EI-ATD/Electronic Monitoring						\$136,000.00
Prevention						
Early Intervention						\$100,000.00
ATD/ATPDP						\$36,000.00
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
<b>12</b>						
Prevention						
Early Intervention						
ATD/ATPDP						
ATP						
Reentry/Aftercare						
Indirect						
<b>Sum of Program Totals:</b>	<b>\$1,682,238.70</b>	<b>\$516,396.00</b>	<b>\$3,546,185.00</b>	<b>\$1,347,550.30</b>	<b>2,198,634.70</b>	<b>\$221,000.00</b>

B. STSJP Reimbursement Summary		
STSJP Allocation Amount	\$516,396.00	
Locally Approved Amount of PY 2020-2021 STSJP Allocation	\$516,396.00	
Approved Detention Allocation Shifted	\$1,682,238.70	
<b>Total Approved for State Reimbursement</b>	<b>\$2,198,634.70</b>	

C. STSJP-RTA Reimbursement Summary	
STSJP-RTA Approved Plan Amount	\$221,000.00
<b>Total Approved for State Reimbursement</b>	<b>\$221,000.00</b>

PART V – PLAN APPROVAL		
A. Municipality Level Approval – Chief Executive / Administrative Official		
As STSJP Lead for Monroe County, I certify that the Chief Executive/Administrative Official, [Name and Title] Adam J. Bello, County Executive, has reviewed and approved the 2020-2021 STSJP Plan.		
User ID: 26c333	Print Name: Amy Natale-McConnell	Date: 6/28/2021

**B. State Level Approval – OCFS Program Reviewer**

As OCFS STSJP reviewer, I certify that I approve of this STSJP plan for Monroe County for 2020-2021.

User ID: IT0911

Print Name: Lynn Tubbs

Date: 6/30/2021